

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,342	12/31/2003	Jagrut Viliskumar Patel	030439	9469
25070	7590 01/26/200 INCORPORATED		EXAMINER	
5775 MOREHOUSE DR. SAN DIEGO, CA 92121			BHAT, ADITYA S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2863	
				·
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	3 MONTHS 01/26/2007 ELECTRONI		RONIC	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 01/26/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com kascanla@qualcomm.com t ssadik@qualcomm.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/750,342	PATEL ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Aditya S. Bhat	2863		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was pailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	J.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Oct 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ This 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,5-11,14-22,25-30 and 33-36 is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,5-11,14-22,25-30 and 33-36 is/are 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 19 September 2005 is/a  Applicant may not request that any objection to the ore Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/13/05.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

'Art Unit: 2863

#### DETAILED ACTION

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-2, 5-11, 14-18 and 33-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The claims are directed to a judicial exception; as such, pursuant to the Interim Guidelines on Patent Eligible Subject Matter (MPEP 2106)), the claims must have either physical transformation and/or a useful, concrete and tangible result. The claims fail to include transformation from one physical state to another. Although, the claims appear useful and concrete, there does not appear to be a tangible result claimed. Merely "calculating an actual temperature of the chip as a function of the first and second ring oscillator frequencies" would not appear to be sufficient to constitute a tangible result, since the outcome of the "calculating an actual temperature of the chip as a function of the first and second ring oscillator frequencies" step has not been used in a disclosed practical application nor made available in such a manner that its usefulness in a disclosed practical application can be realized. As such, the subject matter of the claims is not patent eligible.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/750,342

Art Unit: 2863

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-2, 5-11,14-22 and 25-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Luick (USPUB 2003/022962)

With regards to claim 1, 10 and 30, Luick (USPUB 2003/022962) teaches a method, a processor and a computer readable program for determining an operating parameter of a chip having first and second ring oscillators, comprising:

measuring a frequency of the first ring oscillator; (Refer to figure 6)

measuring a frequency of the second ring oscillator; (Refer to figure 6) and

calculating an actual temperature of the chip as a function of the first and second

ring oscillator frequencies. (Refer to figure 6)

With regards to claim 2, 11 and 22, Luick (USPUB 2003/022962) teaches obtaining two ring oscillator clock counts, separated by a time difference, from a ring oscillator; obtaining two independent clock counts, separated by the time difference, from a clock output independent from the ring oscillator; and calculating a ratio of the difference between the two ring oscillator clock values and the difference between the two independent clock values. (Page 4, Paragraph 0056)

With regards to claim 5, 14 and 25 Luick (USPUB 2003/022962) teaches multiplying the measured frequency of the first ring oscillator by the measured frequency of the second ring oscillator to obtain a result; and

Art Unit: 2863

determining, as a function of the result and characterization data of the chip, the chip's actual temperature. (Page 4, Paragraph 0056)

With regards to claim 6, 15 and 26, Luick (USPUB 2003/022962) teaches dividing the measured frequency of the first ring oscillator frequency by the measured frequency of the second ring oscillator to obtain a result; and

determining, as a function of the result and characterization data of the chip, the chip's process speed. (Page 4, Paragraph 0056)

With regards to claim 7, 16 and 27, Luick (USPUB 2003/022962) teaches multiplying the measured frequency of the first ring oscillator by the measured frequency of the second ring oscillator to obtain a second result;

determining, as a function of the second result and the characterization data, the chip's actual temperature; and

adjusting the determined process speed according to the determined actual temperature. (Page 4, Paragraph 0056)

With regards to claim 8, 17 and 28, Luick (USPUB 2003/022962) teaches calculating a scaled frequency value from the first and second measured ring oscillator frequencies and characterization data of the chip; comparing the calculated scaled frequency value with a known range of scaled frequency values relative to temperature; and determining, from the comparison, the actual temperature of the chip. (Page 4, Paragraph 0056)

With regards to claims 9, 18 and 29, Luick (USPUB 2003/022962) teaches calculating a scaled frequency value from the first and second measured ring oscillator

Art Unit: 2863

frequencies and characterization data of the chip; comparing the calculated scaled frequency value with a known range of scaled frequency numbers relative to process speed; and determining, from the comparison, the process speed of the chip. (Page 4, Paragraph 0056)

With regards to claims 19, Luick (USPUB 2003/022962) teaches a system comprising:

a chip having first and second ring oscillators; (Page 4, Paragraph 0056) and a processor configured to:

measure a frequency of the first ring oscillator; (Refer to figure 6)

measure a frequency of the second ring oscillator; (Refer to figure 6) and calculate process speed or temperature of the chip as a function of the first and second ring oscillator frequencies. (Refer to figure 6)

With regards to claims 20, Luick (USPUB 2003/022962) teaches the chip comprises the processor. (Refer to figure 7)

With regards to claims 21, Luick (USPUB 2003/022962) teaches the processor is separate from but operably connected to the chip. (Refer to figure 7)

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9/19/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant is reminded that during patent examination, the pending claims must be "given the broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the specification."

Applicant always has the opportunity to amend the claims during prosecution, and

Application/Control Number: 10/750,342

Art Unit: 2863

broad interpretation by the examiner reduces the possibility that the claim, once issued, will be interpreted more broadly than is justified. In re Prater, 415 F.2d 1393, 1404-05, 162 USPQ 541, 550-51 (CCPA 1969).

While the meaning of claims of issued patents are interpreted in light of the specification, prosecution history, prior art and other claims, this is not the mode of claim interpretation to be applied during examination. During examination, the claims must be interpreted as broadly as their terms reasonably allowed. This means that the words of the claim must be given their plain meaning unless applicant has provided a clear definition in the specification. In re Zletz, 893 F.2d 319, 321, 13 USPQ2d 1320, 1322 (Fed. Cir. 1989).

In this instance applicant argues that the prior art of record does not teach or suggest computing the actual temperature of the chip (Page 4, Paragraph 056).

Referring to paragraph 0031-0032 of applicant's specification, applicant states that the actual temperature is estimated. This temperature estimation is a function of frequencies from two ring oscillators. Thus the invention is believed to read on the prior art of record.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Okada et al. (USPN 7,165,183) teaches temperature controlled semiconductor circuit, Suzuki et al. (USPN 4,453,834) teaches a electronic timepiece with temperature compensation, and Smith et al. (USPUB 2002/0075163) teaches wireless spread-spectrum telesensor chip with synchronous digital architecture.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aditya S. Bhat whose telephone number is 571-272-2270. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on 571-272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Aditya Bhat January 18, 2007

John Barlow
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Jechnology Center 2800